UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/572,910	03/21/2006	Sui Xiong Cai	1735.0930001/RWE/BSA	4626	
26111 7590 07/03/2007 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER		
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1614		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/572,910	. *	CAI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kevin E. We		1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event in. eriod will apply and will e statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the storm of	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•			•				
•		This action is no	n-final.	•					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					•				
			e .						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/572,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Due to the complex nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made. Please see MPEP 812.01.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 15-22 are drawn to a method of treating or ameliorating a disorder responsive to the induction of apoptosis in an animal suffering therefrom comprising administering to an animal in need of such treatment an effective amount of a compound of Formulae I or II, classified in class 514, subclass 630+.
- II. Claims 7-9 are drawn to a method for treating or ameliorating cancer comprising administering to an animal in need of such treatment an effective amount of a compound of formula I, classified in class 514, subclass 630+.
- III. Claims 10-14 are drawn to a method for the treatment or amelioration of drug-resistant cancer comprising administering to an animal in need of such treatment or amelioration an effective amount of a compound of the formula I, classified in class 514, subclass 630+.
- IV. Claims 23-26 are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and a compound of formula I, classified in class 514, subclass 630+.

Art Unit: 1614

V. Claims 27-33 are drawn to a compound of formula I, classified in class 544, various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions V and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

The five inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other as they have a separate status in the art as shown by their different and separate subject matter for inventive effort. Further, a reference, which anticipates any one of the above inventions, would neither anticipate nor make obvious of the other inventions. Each such invention is capable of supporting is own patent. For these reasons, the restriction requirement is proper.

To be complete, applicants' response must include a provisional election even though the requirement may be traverse.

The applicants are required to elect a single invention for examination purposes.

If the applicants elect Group I, claims 1-6 and 15-22, they must also elect between formulae I or II; and then elect from claims 15-22, one disorder.

Art Unit: 1614

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington June 26, 2007